

Republic of the Philippines
ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
Pasig City

IN THE MATTER OF THE
APPLICATION TO
CONFIRM: (A) THE
GENERATION RATE OVER/
UNDER RECOVERY
(GOUR); TRANSMISSION
RATE OVER/ UNDER
RECOVERY (TOUR);
SYSTEM LOSS RATE OVER/
UNDER RECOVERY
(SLOUR); LIFELINE
SUBSIDY OVER/ UNDER
RECOVERY (LSOUR) FROM
JANUARY 2012 TO
OCTOBER 2013; AND
SENIOR CITIZEN
DISCOUNT AND SUBSIDY
OVER/UNDER RECOVERY
(SRDSOUR) FROM
FEBRUARY 2011 TO
OCTOBER 2013, AND FOR
AUTHORITY TO REFUND/
RECOVER CONFIRMED
OVER/ UNDER
RECOVERIES, WITH
PRAYER FOR
PROVISIONAL AUTHORITY

Promulgated:
July 31, 2026

ERC CASE NO. 2014-050 CF

MANILA ELECTRIC
COMPANY (MERALCO),
Applicant.

X-----X

IN THE MATTER OF THE
APPLICATION: (A) TO
CONFIRM THE
GENERATION RATE
OVER/UNDER RECOVERY
(GOUR); TRANSMISSION
RATE OVER/UNDER
RECOVERY (TOUR);
SYSTEM LOSS RATE

OVER/UNDER RECOVERY
(SLOUR); LIFELINE
SUBSIDY OVER/UNDER
RECOVERY (LSOUR);
SENIOR CITIZEN
DISCOUNT AND SUBSIDY
OVER/UNDER RECOVERY
(SRDSOUR) PASS-
THROUGH CHARGES FROM
JANUARY 2014 TO
DECEMBER 2016; AND
REAL PROPERTY TAX
PAYMENTS FROM JUNE
2014 TO DECEMBER 2016;
AND (B) FOR AUTHORITY
TO REFUND/RECOVER
CONFIRMED OVER/UNDER
RECOVERIES AND REAL
PROPERTY TAX
PAYMENTS, WITH PRAYER
FOR PROVISIONAL
AUTHORITY

ERC CASE NO. 2017-060 CF

MANILA ELECTRIC
COMPANY (MERALCO),
Applicant.
X-----X

IN THE MATTER OF THE
APPLICATION TO CONFIRM
THE GENERATION RATE
OVER/UNDER RECOVERY
(GOUR); TRANSMISSION
RATE OVER/UNDER
RECOVERY (TOUR);
SYSTEM LOSS RATE
OVER/UNDER RECOVERY
(SLOUR); LIFELINE
SUBSIDY OVER/UNDER
RECOVERY (LSOUR);
SENIOR CITIZEN
DISCOUNT AND SUBSIDY
OVER/UNDER RECOVERY

(SRDSOUR) PASS-
THROUGH CHARGES FROM
JANUARY 2017 TO
DECEMBER 2019, WITH
PRAYER FOR
PROVISIONAL AUTHORITY

ERC CASE NO. 2020-018 CF

MANILA ELECTRIC
COMPANY (MERALCO),
Applicant.
X-----X

IN THE MATTER OF THE
APPLICATION: (A) TO
CONFIRM THE
GENERATION RATE
OVER/UNDER RECOVERY
(GOUR); TRANSMISSION
RATE OVER/UNDER
RECOVERY (TOUR);
SYSTEM LOSS RATE
OVER/UNDER RECOVERY
(SLOUR); LIFELINE
SUBSIDY OVER/UNDER
RECOVERY (LSOUR);
SENIOR CITIZEN
DISCOUNT AND SUBSIDY
OVER/UNDER RECOVERY
(SRDSOUR) PASS-
THROUGH CHARGES FROM
JANUARY 2020 TO
DECEMBER 2022, AND
PASS-THROUGH TAXES
(REAL PROPERTY AND
LOCAL FRANCHISE)
OVER/UNDER RECOVERY
FROM JANUARY 2021 TO
DECEMBER 2022; AND (B)
FOR AUTHORITY TO
REFUND/RECOVER
CONFIRMED OVER/UNDER
RECOVERIES, WITH

PRAYER FOR
PROVISIONAL AUTHORITY

ERC CASE NO. 2023-046 CF

MANILA ELECTRIC
COMPANY (MERALCO),
Applicant.
X-----X

DECISION

Before the Commission for resolution are the following *Applications* filed by the Manila Electric Company (MERALCO) pursuant to ERC Resolution No. 16, Series of 2009,¹ as amended by Resolution Nos. 21² and 23,³ Series of 2010, Resolution No. 02, Series of 2021⁴ and Resolution No. 14, Series of 2022:⁵

1. *Application* dated 27 March 2014, filed on 31 March 2014, seeking the Commission’s confirmation and approval of its calculations of over- and under-recoveries in the implementation of automatic cost adjustments and true-up mechanisms for the period February 2011 to October 2013 (*Second Compliance Filing Application*);
2. *Application* dated 30 March 2017, filed on 31 March 2017, seeking the Commission’s confirmation and approval of its calculations of over- and under-recoveries for the generation, transmission, system loss, lifeline subsidy, senior citizen discount and subsidy in the implementation

¹ Entitled “A Resolution Adopting the Rules Governing the Automatic Cost Adjustment and True-up Mechanism and Corresponding Confirmation Process for Distribution Utilities”.
² Entitled “A Resolution Amending Section 4 of Article 4 and Section 1 of Article 5 of the Rules Governing the Automatic Cost Adjustment and True-up Mechanisms and Corresponding Confirmation Process for Distribution Utilities.”
³ Entitled “A Resolution Adopting the Rules Implementing the Discounts to Qualified Senior Citizen End-Users and Subsidy from the Subsidizing End-Users on Electricity Consumption under Sections 4 and 5 of Republic Act No. 9994.”
⁴ Entitled “A Resolution on the Recovery of Pass-Through Taxes (Real Property, Local Franchise, and Business Taxes) of the Distribution Utilities.”
⁵ Entitled “A Resolution Adopting the Revised Rules Governing the Automatic Cost Adjustment and True-up Mechanisms and Corresponding Confirmation Process for Distribution Utilities.”

of automatic cost adjustments and true-up mechanisms for the period January 2014 to December 2016 (*Third Compliance Filing Application*);

3. *Application* dated 25 August 2020, filed on 01 September 2020, seeking the Commission's confirmation and approval of its over- and under-recoveries in the implementation of automatic cost adjustments and true-up mechanisms for the period January 2017 to December 2019 (*Fourth Compliance Filing Application*); and
4. *Application* dated 26 April 2023 and *Supplemental Application* dated 29 September 2023, filed on 01 June 2023 and 03 October 2023, respectively, seeking the Commission's confirmation and approval of its over- and under-recoveries in the implementation of automatic cost adjustments and true-up mechanisms for the period January 2020 to December 2022 (*Fifth Compliance Filing Application*).

FACTUAL ANTECEDENTS

I. ERC Case No. 2014-050 CF (February 2011 to October 2013)

On 10 February 2015, the Commission issued an *Order* and a *Notice of Public Hearing*, both dated 02 February 2015, setting the instant case for hearing for the determination of compliance with the jurisdictional requirements, expository presentation, Pre-Trial Conference, and presentation of evidence on 11 March 2015.

On 06 March 2015, MERALCO filed its *Pre-Trial Brief* of even date. Likewise, the United Filipino Consumers and Commuters, Inc. (UFCC) filed a *Petition for Intervention* dated 05 March 2015.

On 09 March 2015, the National Association of Electricity Consumers for Reforms, Inc. (NASECORE) filed its *Petition for Intervention* dated 05 March 2015. Likewise, Mr. John Victoria (Mr. Victoria) filed his *Petition for Intervention* dated 11 March 2015.

On 11 March 2015, MERALCO filed its *Compliance (with Jurisdictional Requirements)* of even date.

During the 11 March 2015 hearing, MERALCO appeared. Mr. Victoria and Mr. Uriel Borja (Mr. Borja) likewise appeared.

MERALCO presented its compliance with the posting and publication requirements of the Commission. The Commission then found MERALCO's submissions to be compliant with the jurisdictional requirements pursuant to the *Order* dated 02 February 2015, including the newspaper issue of the *Malaya Business Insight* on 25 February 2015. Thus, the Commission declared that it acquired jurisdiction over the instant case. Thereafter, the expository presentation was conducted, and the hearing was then adjourned.

On 13 March 2015, Mr. Borja filed his *Petition for Intervention* of even date.

The following were admitted as Intervenors in the instant case: UFCC, NASECORE, Mr. Borja, and Mr. Victoria.

The Pre-Trial Conference and evidentiary hearings were held on the following dates: 30 June 2015; 27 August 2015; 28 September 2015; 23 November 2015; 12 January 2016; 14 June 2016; 28 October 2016; 21 November 2016; 16 March 2017; 25 April 2017; 11 May 2017; 13 July 2017; 10 August 2017; and 04 October 2017.

On 26 May 2017, MERALCO filed its *Formal Offer of Evidence* dated 25 May 2017.

On 20 June 2017, MERALCO filed its *Compliance* of even date.

On 04 March 2020, the Commission issued an *Order* dated 05 December 2019, authorizing MERALCO to implement its over/under-recoveries net calculation stated in its *Application* based on the formulae on the various automatic cost adjustments and true-up mechanisms and corresponding confirmation process, subject to the final evaluation of the *Application* by the Commission.

On 16 March 2020, MERALCO filed its *Compliance* dated 13 March 2020, to the *Order* dated 05 December 2019.

II. ERC Case No. 2017-060 CF (January 2014 to December 2016)

On 27 April 2017, MERALCO filed a *Compliance and Manifestation* of even date.

On 15 May 2017, the Commission issued an *Order* and a *Notice of Public Hearing*, both dated 11 May 2017, setting the instant case for hearing on 02 June 2017 for the determination of compliance with the jurisdictional requirements, expository presentation, Pre-Trial Conference, and presentation of evidence. On the same day, the Commission issued an *Order* dated 11 May 2017, granting MERALCO provisional authority in the instant application.

On 26 May 2017, MERALCO filed its *Pre-Trial Brief* of even date.

On 30 May 2017, MERALCO filed its *Manifestation and Urgent Motion* of even date.

On 01 June 2017, MERALCO filed its *Supplemental Pre-Trial Brief* of even date.

On 02 June 2017, Mr. Borja filed his *Petition for Intervention* dated 01 June 2017.

On the same date, MERALCO filed its *Compliance (With Jurisdictional Requirements)* dated 02 June 2017.

During the 02 June 2017 hearing, MERALCO and Mr. Borja appeared. In the said hearing, MERALCO presented its compliance with the publication and jurisdictional requirements of the Commission. The Commission then found MERALCO's submissions to be compliant with the jurisdictional requirements pursuant to the *Order* dated 11 May 2017. Thus, the Commission declared that it

acquired jurisdiction over the instant case.⁶ Thereafter, MERALCO explained in detail the *Application* through an expository presentation.

On 09 June 2017, MERALCO filed its *Manifestation* dated 08 June 2017.

On 13 June 2017, Mr. Borja filed his *Urgent Motion to Reset (The Hearing scheduled on 14 July 2017)* dated 12 July 2017.

On 14 June 2017, MERALCO filed its *Compliance* dated 13 June 2017.

On 28 July 2017, the Commission issued an *Order* dated 14 July 2017, setting the instant case for hearing on 10 August 2017 for the Pre-Trial Conference.

During the hearing on 10 August 2017, the Pre-Trial Conference and presentation of evidence was reset to 26 September 2017, which was reflected in the *Order* dated 10 August 2017 issued on 18 August 2017.

On 07 September 2017, MERALCO filed its *Manifestation and Motion* of even date.

On 13 September 2017, Mr. Borja filed his *Urgent Motion to Reset (The Hearing scheduled on 26 September 2017)* dated 11 September 2017.

On 04 January 2018, the counsel of Mr. Borja filed his *Entry of Appearance with Pre-Trial Brief* dated 03 January 2018.

During the 09 January 2018 hearing, MERALCO and Intervenor Borja appeared. The Pre-Trial Conference and the presentation of MERALCO's witness followed. The presentation of evidence was continued to the next hearing set on 21 February 2018.

⁶ MERALCO presented its compliance with jurisdictional requirements, including the publication of the *Notice of Public Hearing* in the newspaper issue of the *Malaya Business Insight* dated 23 May 2017.

On 15 February 2018, Mr. Borja filed the *Judicial Affidavit (of Witness Uriel G. Borja)* of even date.

On 20 February 2018, Mr. Borja filed an *Urgent Motion to Reset* dated 19 February 2018.

The 17 April 2018 hearing proceeded as scheduled. MERALCO openly withdrew therein its prayer for authority to recover the Real Property Tax payments from June 2014 to December 2016 as pass-through charge.

On 27 April 2018, MERALCO filed its *Formal Offer of Evidence* of even date.

On 18 May 2018, Mr. Borja filed his *Comment and/or Opposition (to Applicant's Formal Offer of Evidence dated 27 April 2018)* of even date.

On 28 May 2018, MERALCO filed its *Reply (to Mr. Uriel G. Borja's Comment / Opposition dated 18 May 2018)* dated 25 May 2018.

During the 13 June 2018 hearing, MERALCO and Mr. Borja appeared. Mr. Borja manifested that he intends to present another witness who has yet to confirm availability.

On 22 June 2018, Mr. Borja filed his *Manifestation and Compliance* dated 21 June 2018. Mr. Borja manifested that he will no longer present any witness.

On 26 June 2018, MERALCO filed its *Compliance and Manifestation* of even date.

On 06 July 2018, Mr. Borja filed a *Notice to Issue Subpoena Ad Testificandum* of even date.

On 02 August 2018, MERALCO filed its *Opposition (to Intervenor Uriel Borja's Notice to Issue Subpoena Ad Testificandum) with Motion for Issuance of Protective Order* of even date.

III. ERC Case No. 2020-018 CF (January 2017 to December 2019)

On 05 October 2020, the Commission issued an *Order* and a *Notice of Virtual Hearing*, both dated 28 September 2020, setting the case for hearing for the determination of compliance with the jurisdictional requirements, expository presentation, Pre-Trial Conference, and presentation of evidence on 21 January 2021.

On 29 December 2020, the Commission issued an *Order* dated 16 December 2020, granting MERALCO interim relief to implement its over/under-recoveries net of calculation stated in its application based on the formulae on the various automatic cost adjustments and true-up mechanisms and corresponding confirmation process, subject to the final evaluation of the *Application* by the Commission.

On 14 January 2021, MERALCO filed its *Compliance*, with an attached *Affidavit and Sworn Statement* of Mr. Roderick Dennison N. Nacu, both of even date.

On 18 January 2021, MERALCO filed its *Compliance (with Jurisdictional Requirements)* and its *Pre-Trial Brief*, both dated 15 January 2021. A copy of the expository presentation was submitted on 19 January 2021.

During the 21 January 2021 hearing, only MERALCO appeared. No petition for intervention or opposition was filed, and no intervenor or oppositor appeared. In the said hearing, MERALCO presented its compliance with the posting and publication requirements of the Commission, including the publication of the *Notice of Virtual Hearing* in the newspaper issue of *The Philippine Star* and *Malaya Business Insight* on 11 January 2021. The Commission then found MERALCO's submissions to be compliant with the jurisdictional requirements pursuant to the *Order* dated 28 September 2020. Thus, the Commission declared that it acquired jurisdiction over the instant case.

Thereafter, the hearing for the expository presentation, Pre-Trial Conference, and presentation of evidence proceeded, as scheduled.

On 05 February 2021, MERALCO filed its *Compliance* and its *Formal Offer of Evidence*, both of even date.

On 07 January 2022, MERALCO filed its *Submission of Compliance Report re: ERC Order dated 16 December 2020*.

IV. ERC Case No. 2023-046 CF (January 2020 to December 2022)

On 13 June 2023, the Commission issued an *Order* and a *Notice of Virtual Hearing*, both of even date, setting the instant *Application* for hearing on the following dates: (1) 24 August 2023 for the determination of compliance with the jurisdictional requirements and expository presentation; and (2) 31 August 2023 for the Pre-Trial Conference and presentation of evidence.

On 18 August 2023, MERALCO filed its *Compliance (with Jurisdictional Requirements)* of even date.

During the 24 August 2023 hearing, MERALCO appeared. National Association of Electricity Consumers for Reforms, Inc. (NASECORE) and Mr. Brigido R. Simon, Jr. (Mr. Simon) likewise appeared.

MERALCO presented its compliance with the posting and publication requirements of the Commission. The Commission then found MERALCO's submissions to be compliant with the jurisdictional requirements pursuant to the *Order* dated 13 June 2023, including the newspaper issue of the *Malaya Business Insight* on 11 August 2023. Thus, the Commission declared that it acquired jurisdiction over the instant case.

Thereafter, on various dates, the expository presentation proceeded, as scheduled.⁷

⁷ Hearings were held on 24 August 2023 and 31 August 2023. On the other hand, the hearings for the pre-trial conference and presentation of evidence were held in abeyance pending the resolution of the various Petitions for Intervention filed in the instant Application.

In the course of the proceedings, the following parties filed their respective interventions: (1) NASECORE;⁸ (2) Mr. Simon;⁹ and (3) Boses ng Konsyumer Alliance, Inc. (BNK).¹⁰

On 29 August 2023, NASECORE filed a *Motion to Implead Power Generators as Co-Applicants* of even date (Motion to Implead).

On 15 September 2023, MERALCO filed its *Compliance* of even date.

On 03 October 2023, MERALCO filed its *Motion for Leave to Admit Supplemental Application* with the attached *Supplemental Application*, both dated 29 September 2023.

On 20 September 2024, MERALCO filed its *Urgent Omnibus Motion (1) to Resolve Applicant's Motion for Leave to Admit Attached Supplemental Application dated 29 September 2023; and (2) to Resume Hearings on the Application* dated 20 September 2024.

In its *Omnibus Motion*, MERALCO alleged that in the Commission's *Decision* dated 08 March 2023 in ERC Case No. 2022-001 RC¹¹, it was directed to include in its present true-up confirmation the recovery of Panay Energy Development Corporation's (PEDC) actual fuel losses from 02 April 2022 to 25 September 2022 due to Change in Circumstances in the amount of Eight Hundred Eighty-Four Million Five Hundred Forty-Five Thousand Four Hundred Seventeen Pesos and Nine Centavos (PhP884,545,417.09). Thus, MERALCO filed its *Motion for Leave to Admit Attached Supplemental Application* with attached *Supplemental Application* to include the said PEDC's actual fuel losses due to CIC.

On 07 November 2025, MERALCO filed its *Second Urgent Omnibus Motion (A) to Resolve Motion for Leave to Admit Attached Supplemental Application; (B) Resume Proceedings in the Case; and (C) Grant Provisional Authority and/or Interim Relief* of even date.

⁸ NASECORE filed its *Petition for Intervention* dated 18 August 2023 on even date.

⁹ Mr. Simon filed his *Petition for Intervention* dated 29 August 2023 on even date.

¹⁰ BNK filed its *Petition for Intervention* dated 29 August 2023 on even date.

¹¹ Entitled "*In the Matter of the Joint Application for Approval of the Power Supply Agreement between Manila Electric Company and Panay Energy Development Corporation with Prayer for Provisional Authority or Interim Relief and Motion for Confidential Treatment*" with Manila Electric Company and Panay Development Corporation as applicants.

On 16 February 2026, the Commission issued an *Order* directing MERALCO to furnish a copy of the *Supplemental Application* to the Office of the City Mayor and the concerned local legislative body, where MERALCO principally operates, and to cause the publication of the *Supplemental Application* in a newspaper of general circulation, and to submit its proof of compliance with the said directives.

On 23 February 2026, MERALCO filed its *Compliance (Re: Order dated 16 February 2026)* of even date.

On 02 March 2026, the Commission issued an *Order* and a *Notice of Virtual Hearing*, setting the instant case for hearing on 18 March 2026 for the determination of compliance with the jurisdictional requirements and expository presentation of the *Supplemental Application*, as well as the Pre-Trial Conference and presentation of evidence for both the original *Application* and *Supplemental Application*.

On 06 March 2026, NASECORE filed a *Manifestation (In Support of the Commission's Order dated 16 February 2026)* of even date.

On 13 March 2026, MERALCO filed its *Compliance (To the Jurisdictional Requirements for the Supplemental Application)* and its *Amended Pre-Trial Brief*, both of even date.

On 16 March 2026, MERALCO filed the following: (1) *Motion for Substitution of Witness*; and (2) *Motion to Admit Amended Judicial Affidavit of Rodelyn M. Soguilon*, both dated 13 March 2026. Likewise, MERALCO filed its *Comment (To: NASECORE's Manifestation dated 06 March 2026)* dated 16 March 2026.

During the 18 March 2026 hearing, only MERALCO appeared. On the other hand, NASECORE, Mr. Simon and BNK (collectively, Intervenors) failed to appear despite due notice. In the said hearing, MERALCO presented proof of its compliance with the publication and posting requirements of the Commission. The Commission then found MERALCO's submissions to be compliant with the jurisdictional requirements pursuant to the *Order* issued on 02 March 2026, including the newspaper issues of the *BusinessWorld* on 19 February

2026 and 06 March 2026. Thus, the Commission declared that it acquired jurisdiction over the instant case.

Thereafter, the expository presentation, Pre-Trial Conference and presentation of evidence proceeded as scheduled.¹²

In the course of the proceedings, the Commission admitted NASECORE, Mr. Simon and BNK as Intervenors in the instant *Application*.

At the end of the proceedings, MERALCO was directed to submit additional documents to aid the Commission in the evaluation of the instant *Application*.¹³

On the same date, NASECORE filed a *Rejoinder (To MERALCO's Comment dated 16 March 2026)* dated 18 March 2026.

On 27 March 2026, MERALCO filed its *Formal Offer of Evidence (FOE) and Compliance*, both dated 26 March 2026.

On 30 March 2026, MERALCO filed a *Comment (To: NASECORE's Rejoinder dated 18 March 2026)* of even date.

On 28 April 2026, the Commission issued an *Order* reiterating its directives during the 18 March 2026 hearing, *to wit*: (a) for Intervenors to submit their *Written Interrogatories*, if any, to MERALCO's witnesses, and to file their *Comments* on MERALCO's *FOE*; and (b) for MERALCO to submit its *Answers*, if any, to the Intervenors' *Written Interrogatories*.

On 29 April 2026, NASECORE filed a *Motion to Compel (For Submission of Complete, Supplier-Level and Auditable GOUR)* (Motion to Compel) of even date.

On 07 May 2026, the Commission issued an *Order* denying NASECORE's *Motion to Implead*. In the said *Order*, the Commission explained that Generation Companies (GenCos) do not possess such a direct and material interest in the case as would render them

¹² The hearing was held on 18 March 2026.

¹³ MERALCO filed its *Compliance* dated 26 March 2026 on 27 March 2027.

indispensable parties thereon. Further, the issue for resolution is confined to whether the Distribution Utilities (DUs) properly computed, and is entitled to recover or refund the claimed amounts under the applicable rules. Thus, determination may be fully and effectively made based on the DUs' submissions and supporting evidence, without the necessity of impleading the GenCos.

On 11 May 2026, MERALCO filed its *Comment-Opposition (To: NASECORE's Motion to Compel dated 29 April 2026)* of even date (Motion to Compel).

On 03 June 2026, the Commission issued an *Order* denying NASECORE's *Motion to Compel*. In the same *Order*, the Commission found that the relief sought by NASECORE is no longer necessary for purposes of evaluation as the evidence on record is sufficient to enable a thorough determination of MERALCO's claimed over/under recoveries.

The Commission found the exhibits contained in the *Formal Offers of Evidence* of MERALCO, as well as its various submissions, to be relevant and material to the resolution of the subject cases. Thus, the Commission admitted the same and declared the cases submitted for resolution.

ISSUE

The issue for the Commission's resolution is whether to approve MERALCO's calculations of its over/under recoveries covering the periods February 2011 to October 2013, January 2014 to December 2016, January 2017 to December 2019, and January 2020 to December 2022, pursuant to the ERC Resolution No. 16, Series of 2009,¹⁴ as amended by ERC Resolution Nos. 21¹⁵ and 23,¹⁶ Series of 2010, ERC Resolution No. 02, Series of 2021¹⁷ and ERC Resolution No. 14, Series of 2022.¹⁸

¹⁴ *Supra*, note 1.

¹⁵ *Supra*, note 2.

¹⁶ *Supra*, note 3.

¹⁷ *Supra*, note 4.

¹⁸ *Supra*, note 5.

THE COMMISSION'S RULING

After due deliberation and thorough evaluation of all the evidence submitted and all the information gathered, the Commission, pursuant to its regulatory powers, hereby **APPROVES WITH MODIFICATION** MERALCO's calculations of its over/under recoveries.

DISCUSSION

Pursuant to its rate-setting mandate under Section 25 of Republic Act No. 9136, otherwise known as "Electric Power Industry Reform Act of 2001" (*EPIRA*), the Commission has the authority to approve the components of the electricity retail rates charged by Distribution Utilities (DUs) to their consumers.

The Retail Rate represents the total price paid by end-users consists of the charges for: (i) Generation, (ii) Transmission and Related Ancillary Services, (iii) Distribution, Supply, and Metering (DSM), and (iv) other related charges for electric service.

Except for the DSM charges, all other components of the retail rate are pass-through charges. These are collected by the DUs from consumers and remitted to Generation Companies, Transmission Service Providers, and the beneficiaries of legally mandated subsidies.

Automatic and periodic adjustments in pass-through charges are allowed to reflect changes in variable components of the approved generation rates such as fuel and purchased power costs, billing corrections, and variation in customer consumption. The implementation of these adjustments follows sets of formula prescribed by the Commission under ERC Resolution No. 16, Series of 2009, as amended by ERC Resolution Nos. 21 and 23, Series of 2010, Resolution No. 02, Series of 2021 and ERC Resolution No. 14, Series of 2022.

To ensure the proper and efficient recovery of these pass-through charges, and to verify that the periodic adjustments are implemented in accordance with the approved automatic cost adjustment

mechanisms, the Commission established a systematic confirmation process under the aforementioned Resolutions.

Under this process, DUs, such as MERALCO, are required to calculate the over- or under-recoveries on the Generation Rate, Transmission Rate, System Loss, Lifeline Subsidy, Senior Citizen Subsidy Rate, Real Property Tax, and Local Franchise Tax. These are determined based on the variance between the allowable cost and the revenues billed, using the prescribed formula under Article 4 of Resolution No. 16, Series of 2009, as amended. The resulting computations are filed with the Commission as a consolidated application for confirmation of pass-through charges covering a three (3)-year period.

Pursuant to the said mandate, MERALCO filed its Second, Third, Fourth and Fifth Compliance Filing *Applications* for confirmation of the automatic cost adjustments it implemented and the corresponding true-up of other pass-through charges approved by the Commission for the periods February 2011 to October 2013,¹⁹ January 2014 to December 2016, January 2017 to December 2019, and January 2020 to December 2022.

Consistent with ERC Resolution No. 16, Series of 2009, as amended, the foregoing pass-through components were evaluated in accordance with the rules, procedures, and parameters prescribed therein.

Based on the documents submitted, MERALCO reported a total net under-recovery in the amount of PhP3,307,775,603.63 for its *Second, Third, Fourth and Fifth Compliance Filing (CF) Applications*.

Upon verification and confirmation, however, the Commission determined a total net under-recovery of PhP2,017,764,354.45. The comparison between MERALCO's proposed and the Commission's confirmed over/under recoveries is presented in Table 1:

¹⁹ The November 2013 and December 2013 supply months were not included in the February 2011 to October 2013 Compliance Filing under ERC Case No. 2014-050 CF due to the pending Supreme Court cases under GR Nos. 210245, 210255, and 210502, which precluded recognition and recovery of the corresponding costs during the said period.

ERC CASE NO. 2014-050 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2017-060 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2020-018 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2023-046 CF
DECISION
Page 18 of 51

Table 1: MERALCO’s Proposal versus Commission’s Confirmed Amounts

Period Covered	PARTICULARS	MERALCO's Proposed (Over)/Under Recoveries (PhP)	Commission's Confirmed (Over)/Under Recoveries (PhP)	Difference (PhP)
ERC Case No. 2014-050 CF				
January 2012 - October 2013	1. Generation	559,095,215.46	558,655,908.87	439,306.59
	2. Transmission	(639,256,790.93)	(639,256,790.93)	0.00
	3. System Loss	(502,079,359.90)	(575,547,398.05)	73,468,038.15
	4. Lifeline Subsidy	(74,904,065.92)	(74,904,065.91)	(0.01)
February 2011 - October 2013	5. Senior Citizen Subsidy	(435,001.27)	(435,001.24)	(0.03)
	TOTAL	(657,580,002.56)	(731,487,347.26)	73,907,344.70
ERC Case No. 2017-060 CF				
January 2014 - December 2016	1. Generation	(4,919,162,892.94)	(4,919,162,887.59)	(5.35)
	2. Transmission	(632,210,115.96)	(632,210,115.97)	0.01
	3. System Loss	(1,242,760,988.35)	(1,306,336,884.98)	63,575,896.63
	4. Lifeline Subsidy	(130,627,106.04)	(130,627,106.06)	0.02
	5. Senior Citizen Subsidy	(2,325,583.09)	(2,325,583.09)	0.00
	6. Real Property Tax ²⁰	1,089,707,397.13	0.00	1,089,707,397.13
	TOTAL	(5,837,379,289.25)	(6,990,662,577.69)	1,153,283,288.44
ERC Case No. 2020-018 CF				
January 2017 - December 2019	1. Generation	2,382,501,891.88	2,382,685,926.40	(184,034.52)
	2. Transmission	(440,578,703.68)	(440,578,703.62)	(0.06)
	3. System Loss	(971,653,932.66)	(1,034,658,583.28)	63,004,650.62
	4. Lifeline Subsidy	(31,898,952.32)	(31,898,952.32)	(0.00)
	5. Senior Citizen Subsidy	(3,139,446.19)	(3,139,446.19)	(0.00)
	TOTAL	935,230,857.03	872,410,240.99	62,820,616.04
ERC Case No. 2023-046 CF				
January 2020 - December 2022	1. Generation	7,297,702,853.75	7,297,702,853.75	0.00
	GOUR	6,413,157,436.66	6,413,157,436.66	0.00
	GOUR Supplemental ²¹	884,545,417.09	884,545,417.09	0.00
	2. Transmission	607,375,216.17	607,375,216.17	0.00
	3. System Loss	764,168,532.40	764,168,532.40	0.00
	4. Lifeline Subsidy	(776,623.37)	(776,623.37)	0.00

²⁰ At the 17 April 2018 hearing, MERALCO withdrew its prayer to recover RPT payments for June 2014 to December 2016 as a pass-through charge.

²¹ ERC Decision dated 08 March 2023, issued on 29 August 2023, in ERC Case No. 2022-001 RC, specifically Item No. 3 of the dispositive portion, the Commission resolved that the recovery of PEDC’s actual fuel losses due to CIC from 02 April to 25 September 2022 amounting to PhP884,545,417.09 be included in the Commission’s evaluation of MERALCO’s true-up confirmation.

Table 1: MERALCO’s Proposal versus Commission’s Confirmed Amounts

	5. Senior Citizen Subsidy	(2,504,375.44)	(2,504,375.44)	0.00
	6. Real Property Tax	228,875,467.60	228,875,467.60	0.00
	7. Local Franchise Tax	(27,337,032.70)	(27,337,032.70)	0.00
	TOTAL	8,867,504,038.41	8,867,504,038.41	0.00
TOTAL		3,307,775,603.63	2,017,764,354.45	1,290,011,249.18

The Commission verified MERALCO’s submissions in accordance with the prescribed rules on automatic cost adjustments and true-up mechanisms under the pertinent ERC Resolutions and confirmed that the resulting over- or under-recoveries arose from the operational and methodological factors inherent in the implementation of these mechanisms. These factors, summarized in Table 2 reflects the practical variations in data usage, timing of rate application, and sales fluctuations that affected the actual recovery of costs for each rate component.

Table 2. Commission-confirmed Over- and Under-Recoveries

Component	(Over)/Under Recovery	Factor
Generation	5,319,881,801.43 ²²	The generation cost over- or under-recoveries primarily arise from variances between the actual generation cost for a given month and the generation costs applied from the preceding month, influenced by fuel price fluctuations, foreign exchange movements, changes in the generation mix or plant dispatch, and WESM price volatility. Moreover, the Commission finds that the under-recoveries incurred by MERALCO for the period 2021–2022 were primarily driven by rising generation costs, which became more pronounced in early 2022. While costs in 2021 were relatively stable, they were nonetheless affected by pandemic-related disruptions in supply chains and demand, contributing to initial under-recoveries. These conditions intensified in 2022 amid global energy price spikes driven in part by geopolitical tensions, including the Ukraine–Russia conflict which significantly increased the prices of coal, oil, and natural gas. Lastly, pursuant to the Commission’s <i>Decision</i> dated 08 March 2023 under ERC Case No. 2022-001 RC²³ granting

²² The applicable final rates of the PSAs with Toledo Power Co. (TPC) for the period April 2016 to March 2017, 1590 Energy Corporation (1590 EC) for the period May 2016 to March 2017, and Panay Development Corporation (PEDC) for the period February 2017 to January 2022 remain subject to the Commission’s final determination in ERC Case Nos. 2016-009RC, 2016-010RC, and 2016-114RC, respectively.

²³ Entitled, “In the Matter of the Application of the Joint Application for Approval of the Power Supply Agreement Between Manila Electric Company and Panay Energy Development Corporation, with Prayer for Provisional Authority or Interim Relief and Motion for Confidential Treatment of Information.

		MERALCO and PEDC’s <i>Joint Urgent Motion for Contract Price Adjustment with Motion for Confidential Treatment of Information</i> dated 13 April 2022, among others, PEDC’s actual fuel losses due to CIC amounting to PhP884,545,417.09 were included in the evaluation of the instant MERALCO’s true-up confirmation cases.
Transmission	(1,104,670,394.35)	MERALCO utilized the previous months’ data in computing the Transmission Rate (TR) for its monthly billings, thereby producing only an estimate subject to confirmation upon the availability of actual kWh sales data for the corresponding period. The transmission cost over- or under-recoveries primarily arise from variances between the actual transmission charges billed by the National Grid Corporation of the Philippines (NGCP) for a given month and the transmission costs implemented from the preceding month. These variances are influenced by changes in transmission charges such as network and ancillary service fees, adjustments in NGCP’s billing determinants, and variations in MERALCO’s energy sales and load profile. As a result of these factors, coupled with regulatory lag and timing differences in billing, MERALCO incurred transmission cost over-recoveries.
System Loss	(2,152,374,333.91)	MERALCO used the actual System Loss data for the twelve (12) most recent months in computing the monthly System Loss Rate (SLR), and the actual annual system loss in determining the Allowable System Loss Cost (ASLC), pursuant to ERC Resolution No. 21, Series of 2010. The ASLC is dependent on the determined Allowable Generation Cost (AGC) and Allowable Transmission Cost (ATC), which comprises the components of the recoverable system loss charges. Variances between the estimated and actual data resulted in system loss cost over-recoveries.
Lifeline Subsidy	(238,206,747.66)	The previous month’s Lifeline Discount was used in computing the current month’s lifeline subsidy charge. Differences in the actual number of lifeline customers and their electricity consumption resulted in variances between the discounts granted and the actual subsidy billed, leading to over-recoveries.
Senior Citizen Subsidy	(8,404,405.96)	The previous month’s Senior Citizen discount was used in computing the current month’s senior citizen subsidy charge. Due to differences in the actual number of qualified Senior Citizen customers and their electricity consumption, variances arose between the discount granted and the actual subsidy billed. Consequently, MERALCO incurred over-recoveries in senior citizen subsidy costs.
Real Property Tax	228,875,467.60	The under-recoveries in RPT are primarily attributable to MERALCO’s late recovery of RPT charge, which started only in July 2021 instead of January 2021 from customers.
Local Franchise Tax	(27,337,032.70)	The over-recoveries in Local Franchise Tax (LFT) are primarily attributable to timing differences arising from the advance payment of franchise taxes vis-à-vis recovery from customers based on a fixed percentage of billed electricity sales. Such over-recoveries are further driven by higher-than-forecast electricity sales, which increase customer billings and, consequently, recoveries. The fixed percentage

		recovery methodology likewise contributes to timing mismatches, as recoveries fluctuate with actual sales while tax payments are based on predetermined obligations, resulting in temporary over-recoveries within the period.
Total Net Under-Recovery	2,017,764,354.45	

Accordingly, the Commission confirms a total net under-recovery of **PhP2,017,764,354.45** for the period February 2011 to December 2022, as against MERALCO’s computed net under-recovery of PhP3,307,775,603.63.

The Commission’s *Decision* dated 16 November 2009 under ERC Case No. 2008-008 RC,²⁴ approved with modification MERALCO’s Lifeline Subsidy Rate Case covering the period June 2003 to December 2007. In the said *Decision*, MERALCO was directed to collect the total net under-recovery amounting to PhP856,367,808.00 as against MERALCO’s computation of a total net under-recovery of PhP863,956,786.20.

Thereafter, the Commission promulgated *Decisions*, both dated 01 June 2015, approving with modifications the First and Second Compliance Filing (CF) applications of MERALCO under ERC Case Nos. 2011-010 CF²⁵ and 2012-039 CF,²⁶ , covering the periods June 2003 to December 2010 and January 2011 to December 2011, respectively.

For the First Compliance Filing, MERALCO was directed to collect the total net under-recovery amounting to PhP908,688,699.24, exclusive of carrying charges, as against MERALCO’s computation of a total net under-recovery of PhP1,205,069,973.55, inclusive of carrying charges.

²⁴ Entitled “In the Matter of the Application for Authority to Recover Lifeline Subsidy Under-Recoveries including Carrying Cost, with Prayer for Provisional Authority”

²⁵ Entitled “In the Matter of the Application to Confirm the Generation Rate Over/Under Recovery (GOUR); Transmission Rate Over/Under Recovery (TOUR); System Loss Over/Under Recovery (SLOUR); and Lifeline Subsidy Over/Under Recovery (LSOUR); and for Authority to Refund/Recover Confirmed Over/Under Recoveries, with Prayer for Provisional Authority”

²⁶ Entitled “In the Matter of the Application to Confirm the Generation Rate Over/Under Recovery (GOUR); Transmission Rate Over/Under Recovery (TOUR); System Loss Over/Under Recovery (SLOUR); and Lifeline Subsidy Over/Under Recovery (LSOUR) covering the Period January 2011 to December 2011, and for Authority to Refund/Recover Confirmed Over/Under Recoveries, with Prayer for Provisional Authority”

While for the Second Compliance Filing, MERALCO was directed to collect a total net under-recovery of PhP1,535,171,909.97, exclusive of carrying charges as against MERALCO’s computation of a total net under recovery of PhP1,817,950,666.47, inclusive of carrying charges.

In compliance with the Commission’s directives in the above *Decisions*, MERALCO implemented the refund/collect to its customers for the *Lifeline Subsidy Rate Case, First* and *Second Compliance Filings* until January 2014, May 2021 and June 2017, respectively. The implementation resulted in an outstanding balance of PhP2,993,828.12. The said amounts were validated by comparing the consumer bills (for the rates implemented) and monthly computations/statistical reports submitted to the Commission as against the Reports submitted by MERALCO in compliance with the aforementioned *Decisions*. A summary of these amounts is shown in Table 3:

Table 3. Total Balance from the Implementation of the Lifeline Subsidy Rate Case, 1st and 2nd Compliance Filing *Decisions* as of May 2021

Particulars	Confirmed Lifeline Subsidy (A)*	1 st Compliance Filing Confirmed (Over)/Under Recoveries (B)**	2 nd Compliance Filing Confirmed (Over)/Under Recoveries (C)***	Total Confirmed (Over)/Under Recoveries (A+B+C)	Total Refunded / (Collected) as of May 2021	Outstanding Balance
Generation	0.00	1,146,938,989.48	1,693,730,648.62	2,840,669,638.10	(2,843,842,930.58)	(3,173,292.48)
Transmission	0.00	(110,612,297.43)	255,005,735.43	144,393,438.00	(144,236,287.60)	157,150.40
System Loss	0.00	(136,805,462.76)	(452,689,950.80)	(589,495,413.56)	589,741,965.45	246,551.89
Lifeline Subsidy	856,367,808.00	9,167,469.96	39,125,476.72	904,660,754.68	(904,884,992.61)	(224,237.93)
NET TOTAL	856,367,808.00	908,688,699.25	1,535,171,909.97	3,300,228,417.22	(3,303,222,245.34)	(2,993,828.12)

*Promulgated on 27 November 2009, effective next billing cycle – implemented December 2009.
 **Promulgated on 05 June 2015, effective next billing cycle – implemented June 2015.
 ***Promulgated on 02 June 2015, effective next billing cycle – implemented June 2015.

Subsequently, through the *Orders*²⁷ dated 11 May 2017, 05 December 2019, and 16 December 2020, the Commission authorized MERALCO to implement its over/under recoveries under its 2nd, 3rd, and 4th Compliances, respectively, subject to the Commission’s final evaluation. Pursuant to Article 4 of the Rules Governing the Automatic Cost Adjustment and True-up Mechanism and Corresponding Confirmation Process for Distribution Utilities, any remaining balance from previous confirmation shall be carried over in determining the new cost adjustment.

²⁷ *Orders* dated 11 May 2017, 05 December 2019, and 16 December 2020 under ERC Case Nos. 2017-060 CF, 2014-050 CF and 2020-018 CF.

Accordingly, MERALCO implemented the said *Orders* from June 2017 until August 2022. As of August 2022, MERALCO had already refunded PhP6,694,071,432.08. Taking into consideration this refunded amount and the net total balance from the Lifeline Subsidy Rate Case, 1st and 2nd Compliance Filings of (PhP2,993,828.12), a net collectible balance of PhP8,708,841,958.41 is computed as summarized in Table 4:

Table 4. Total Balance from the Implementation of various *Orders* Issued in the Instant Cases, Using the Final Confirmed Amounts as of August 2022

Particulars	Final Confirmed Amounts for the 2 nd , 3 rd , 4 th , and 5 th Compliance Filings and the balance for the Lifeline Subsidy Rate Case, 1 st and 2 nd Compliance Filings (PhP)	Total Refunded/(Collected) as of August 2022 (PhP)	Net Collectible Balance (PhP)
ERC Case No. 2014-050 CF	(731,487,347.26)	685,391,433.18	(46,095,914.08)
ERC Case No. 2017-060 CF	(6,990,662,577.69)	6,926,577,708.26	(64,084,869.43)
ERC Case No. 2020-018 CF	872,410,240.99	(917,897,709.36)	(45,487,468.37)
ERC Case No. 2023-046 CF	8,867,504,038.41	0.00	8,867,504,038.41
TOTAL	2,017,764,354.45	6,694,071,432.08	8,711,835,786.53
Outstanding Balance from Previous Decisions	(2,993,828.12)	0.00	(2,993,828.12)
NET	2,014,770,526.33	6,694,071,432.08	8,708,841,958.41

Based on the foregoing validation and computation, the Commission finds it proper to approve with modification the total confirmed balances and corresponding cost adjustments as shown in Table 5:

Table 5. New Cost Adjustments

Particulars	Sales ²⁸		Nos. of Mos.	Current (Over)/Under Recoveries		
	kWh	kW		PhP	PhP/kWh	PhP/kW
Generation	2,891,957,063		36	7,299,118,754.00	0.0701 ²⁹	
Transmission			36	615,933,796.23		

²⁸ Recent 12-month Average Sales.

²⁹ Shall be implemented to both captive and contestable customers pursuant to ERC Resolution No. 16, Series of 2012. For contestable customers, generation-related over/under recoveries incurred during periods when they were still captive shall be refunded to or collected from them, and reflected as a separate line item in the DU’s bill through the RES, Local RES, or SOLR currently serving them.

ERC CASE NO. 2014-050 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2017-060 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2020-018 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2023-046 CF
DECISION
Page 24 of 51

Residential	1,590,033,362			222,935,544.07	0.0039	
General Service - A	144,436,097			20,251,004.78	0.0039	
GHMSCI	25,719,053			4,123,713.86	0.0045	
Flat Streetlights	12,009,009			1,719,834.62	0.0040	
General Service - B		861,376		37,498,252.16		1.21
General Power - Secondary		2,868,010		135,327,676.09		1.31
General Power - 13.8 kV & below		166,883		8,333,165.98		1.39
General Power - 34.5 kV		2,869,406		160,116,034.09		1.55
General Power - 69/115 kV		430,623		25,628,570.58		1.65
System Loss			36	595,051,408.32		
Residential	2,839,594,649			493,339,625.80	0.0048	
General Service - A					0.0048	
GHMSCI					0.0048	
Flat Streetlights					0.0048	
General Service - B					0.0048	
General Power - Secondary					0.0048	
General Power - 13.8 kV & below	1,322,691,960			93,695,431.75	0.0020	
General Power - 34.5 kV					0.0020	
General Power - 69/115 kV	216,154,348			8,016,350.78	0.0010	
Lifeline Subsidy	4,377,511,150		1	(554,786.62)	(0.0001) ³⁰	
Senior Citizen Subsidy	4,377,246,471		1	(2,245,648.42)	(0.0005) ³¹	
Real Property Tax	4,378,440,957		36	228,875,467.60	0.0015	
Local Franchise Tax			36	(27,337,032.70)		
Antipolo City	79,248,167			(3,446,384.41)	(0.0012)	
Bacoor City	54,799,083			(1,362,549.40)	(0.0007)	
Batangas City	45,070,833			(953,050.33)	(0.0006)	
Biñan City	76,296,417			1,904,420.26	0.0007	
Cabuyao City	77,046,583			1,350,722.21	0.0005	
Calamba City	182,336,417			2,877,337.24	0.0004	
Caloocan City	130,689,083			(5,023,624.21)	(0.0011)	
Dasmariñas City	69,531,417			(1,970,078.84)	(0.0008)	
General Trias City	6,558,667			(67,660.18)	(0.0003)	
Imus City	57,691,667			492,600.83	0.0002	
Las Piñas City	66,516,917			715,793.12	0.0003	
Lucena City	2,118,528			(15,501.71)	(0.0002)	
Makati City	215,592,750			6,561,581.15	0.0008	
Malabon City	39,262,667			647,879.18	0.0005	
Malolos City	27,427,667			586,921.88	0.0006	

³⁰ Shall be refunded to Non-lifeline customers only.
³¹ Shall be refunded to Subsidizing customers only.

ERC CASE NO. 2014-050 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2017-060 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2020-018 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2023-046 CF
DECISION
Page 25 of 51

Mandaluyong City	98,503,833			2,608,135.04	0.0007	
Manila City	247,181,167			(32,772,522.67)	(0.0037)	
Marikina City	48,971,917			1,604,806.02	0.0009	
Meycauayan City	49,942,500			950,993.57	0.0005	
Muntinlupa City	99,645,667			5,834,939.62	0.0016	
Parañaque City	158,615,000			6,718,963.32	0.0012	
Pasay City	122,860,833			515,034.79	0.0001	
Pasig City	13,610,007			(35,182.39)	(0.0001)	
Quezon City	448,656,583			(6,963,321.87)	(0.0004)	
San Jose Del Monte City	34,118,500			(1,714,907.18)	(0.0014)	
San Pablo City	20,368,667			647,538.35	0.0009	
San Pedro City	37,346,000			418,590.94	0.0003	
Sta. Rosa City	147,387,250			3,244,670.34	0.0006	
Sto. Tomas City	95,389,083			3,452,874.91	0.0010	
Tagaytay City	12,529,417			(1,930,538.50)	(0.0043)	
Taguig City	165,478,833			1,505,316.30	0.0003	
Trece Martires City	15,666,750			(1,356,029.17)	(0.0024)	
Valenzuela City	144,754,583			(5,818,662.97)	(0.0011)	
Province Of Batangas	4,183,167			(2,529,047.88)	(0.0168)	
Province Of Bulacan	323,148,500			(5,972,641.57)	(0.0005)	
Province Of Cavite	183,393,833			8,002,627.48	0.0012	
Province Of Laguna	41,015,167			(328,808.56)	(0.0002)	
Province Of Rizal	184,642,250			(5,718,267.41)	(0.0009)	
TOTAL				8,708,841,958.41		

***Petitions for Intervention of
UFCC, NASECORE, Mr.
Victoria, Mr. Borja, BNK and
Mr. Brigido Simon, Jr.***

In their respective *Petitions for Intervention*, the Intervenors sought to participate in the proceedings for the relevant cases, claiming that they would be adversely affected by the outcome thereof and that their participation is necessary to evaluate, examine, validate the *Applications* for confirmation and to ensure that rates are correct and there is no over-charging to consumers.

The Commission, as discussed above, has evaluated MERALCO’s calculations of its over- and under-recoveries contained in the instant *Applications*, keeping in mind the public interest and pursuant to applicable laws, rules and regulations. Thus, the concern on the

correctness of the rates as well as the possibility of overcharging have been considered and properly addressed.

WHEREFORE, the Commission hereby **APPROVES WITH MODIFICATION** the instant *Applications* of MERALCO with determined net under-recoveries in the amount of Two Billion Seventeen Million Seven Hundred Sixty-Four Thousand Three Hundred Fifty-Four Pesos and Forty-Five Centavos (PhP2,017,764,354.45), for the period covering February 2011 to December 2022.

RELATIVE THERETO, and taking into consideration the amounts it had already refunded and/or collected, MERALCO is hereby **DIRECTED** to implement the collection/refund of the total remaining balance of the Final Confirmed Amounts in the amount of Eight Billion Seven Hundred Eight Million Eight Hundred Forty-One Thousand Nine Hundred Fifty-Eight Pesos and Forty-One Centavos (PhP8,708,841,958.41), broken down as follows:

- (1) **REFUND** the remaining over-recoveries enumerated as follows:
 - 1.1. Lifeline Subsidy over-recoveries amounting to **Five Hundred Fifty-Four Thousand Seven Hundred Eight-Six Pesos and Sixty-Two Centavos (PhP554,786.62)**, with an equivalent rate of **PhPo.0001/kWh** to its non-lifeline customers, until the said amount shall have been fully refunded over a period of one (1) month;
 - 1.2. Senior Citizen Subsidy over-recoveries amounting to **Two Million Two Hundred Forty-Five Thousand Six Hundred Forty-Eight Pesos and Forty-Two Centavos (PhP2,245,648.42)**, with an equivalent rate of **PhPo.0005/kWh** to its subsidizing customers, until the said amount shall have been fully refunded over a period of one (1) month; and
 - 1.3. Local Franchise Tax over-recoveries amounting to **Twenty-Seven Million Three Hundred Thirty-Seven Thousand Thirty-Two Pesos**

and Seventy Centavos (PhP27,337,032.70), with an equivalent rate per area as shown in Table 6 until the said amount shall have been fully refunded over a period of approximately thirty-six (36) months:

Table 6. Local Franchise Tax Over/Under Recovery

Area	PhP/kWh
Antipolo City	(0.0012)
Bacoor City	(0.0007)
Batangas City	(0.0006)
Biñan City	0.0007
Cabuyao City	0.0005
Calamba City	0.0004
Caloocan City	(0.0011)
Dasmariñas City	(0.0008)
General Trias City	(0.0003)
Imus City	0.0002
Las Piñas City	0.0003
Lucena City	(0.0002)
Makati City	0.0008
Malabon City	0.0005
Malolos City	0.0006
Mandaluyong City	0.0007
Manila City	(0.0037)
Marikina City	0.0009
Meycauayan City	0.0005
Muntinlupa City	0.0016
Parañaque City	0.0012
Pasay City	0.0001
Pasig City	(0.0001)
Quezon City	(0.0004)
San Jose Del Monte City	(0.0014)
San Pablo City	0.0009
San Pedro City	0.0003
Sta. Rosa City	0.0006
Sto. Tomas City	0.0010
Tagaytay City	(0.0043)
Taguig City	0.0003
Trece Martires City	(0.0024)

Valenzuela City	(0.0011)
Province Of Batangas	(0.0168)
Province Of Bulacan	(0.0005)
Province Of Cavite	0.0012
Province Of Laguna	(0.0002)
Province Of Rizal	(0.0009)

(2) COLLECT the total under-recoveries enumerated as follows:

- 2.1 Generation under-recoveries amounting to **Seven Billion Two Hundred Ninety-Nine Million One Hundred Eighteen Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty-Four Pesos (PhP7,299,118,754.00)**, with an equivalent rate of **PhPo.0701/kWh** from its customers, until the said amount shall have been fully collected over a period of approximately thirty-six (36) months;
- 2.2 Transmission under-recoveries amounting to **Six Hundred Fifteen Million Nine Hundred Thirty-Three Thousand Seven Hundred Ninety-Six Pesos and Twenty-Three Centavos (PhP615,933,796.23)**, with an equivalent rate per customer class as shown in Table 7, until the said amount shall have been fully collected over a period of approximately thirty-six (36) months.

Table 7. Other Transmission Cost Adjustments

Customer Class	PhP/kWh	PhP/kW
General Service-A	0.0039	
Residential	0.0039	
Flat Streetlights	0.0040	
GHMSCI	0.0045	
General Service - B		1.21
General Power - Secondary		1.31
General Power - 13.8 kV & below		1.39
General Power - 34.5 kV		1.55
General Power - 69/115 kV		1.65

- 2.3 System Loss under-recoveries amounting to **Five Hundred Ninety-Five Million Fifty-One Thousand Four Hundred Eight Pesos and Thirty-Two Centavos (PhP595,051,408.32)**, with an equivalent rate per customer class as shown in Table 8, until the said amount shall have been fully collected over a period of approximately thirty-six (36) months; and

Table 8. Other System Loss Adjustments

Customer Class	PhP/kWh
<i>Flat Streetlights</i>	0.0048
<i>Residential</i>	0.0048
<i>General Service - A</i>	0.0048
<i>GHMSCI</i>	0.0048
<i>General Service - B</i>	0.0048
<i>General Power - Secondary</i>	0.0048
<i>General Power - 13.8 kV & below</i>	0.0020
<i>General Power - 34.5 kV</i>	0.0020
<i>General Power - 69/115 kV</i>	0.0010

- 2.4 Real Property Tax under-recoveries amounting to **Two Hundred Twenty-Eight Million Eight Hundred Seventy-Five Thousand Four Hundred Sixty-Seven Pesos and Sixty Centavos (PhP228,875,467.60)**, with an equivalent rate of **PhPo.0015/kWh** from its customers, until the said amount shall have been fully collected over a period of thirty-six (36) months.
- (3) In connection herewith, MERALCO is hereby **DIRECTED** to:
- 3.1 **SUBMIT** within ten (10) days from the start of the implementation of this *Decision*, a sworn statement indicating its compliance with the *Decision*;
- 3.2 **REFLECT** the over/under-recoveries in the monthly computations of Generation Rate (GR),

Transmission Rate (TR), System Loss Rate (SLR), Lifeline Subsidy Rate (LSR), and Senior Citizen Subsidy (SrSR) as “OGA” for Generation, “OTCA” for Transmission, “OSLA” for System Loss, “OLRA” for Lifeline Subsidy, and “OSrRA” for Senior Citizen Subsidy;

- 3.3 **REFLECT** the over/under-recoveries for Real Property Tax (RPT) and Local Franchise Tax (LFT) as a separate line item in the customer bill using the phrases “RPT Adj.” and “LFT Adj.”, respectively; and
- 3.4 **ACCOMPLISH AND SUBMIT REPORT** in accordance with the attached prescribed format “**Annex A**”, including the report on generation adjustments implemented for contestable customers, on or before the 30th day of the month, together with the monthly reportorial requirements, and to submit every month thereafter, the same reports and supporting documents, until the amount shall have been fully refunded or collected within a period as provided herein.

(This space is intentionally left blank.)

FINALLY, MERALCO is hereby **DIRECTED** to strictly comply with the foregoing and **IMMEDIATELY IMPLEMENT** the same in the next billing cycle upon receipt hereof.

SO ORDERED.

Pasig City.

FOR AND BY AUTHORITY
OF THE COMMISSION:


FRANCIS SATURNINO C. JUAN
*Chairperson and CEO*³²


LS: MLPA/ EDR/RJCS/VMA/MVM/JGGW/ARG/MCCG


ROS: MJSD/RAAR/LLG

**Deliberated and approved during the 29 July 2026 Commission Meeting.*

³² Authority granted on 29 July 2026.

ERC CASE NO. 2014-050 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2017-060 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2020-018 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2023-046 CF
DECISION
Page 32 of 51

Copy Furnished:

1. Manila Electric Company (MERALCO)
Applicant
MERALCO Compound, Lopez Building, Ortigas Avenue, Pasig City
regaffairs@meralco.com.ph; regulatory.legal.admin@meralco.com.ph
2. Attys. Francis Dino S. Antonio, Raymond B. Yap, Isagani C. Coruna II, Edgar Michael C. Robles
Counsel for Applicant MERALCO
7th Floor, Lopez Building, Ortigas Avenue, Barangay Ugong, Pasig City
3. United Filipino Consumers and Commuters, Inc. (UFCC)
Intervenor in ERC Case No. 2014-050 CF
c/o Mr. Rodolfo B. Javellana, Jr.
#32 Valiant St., Samaka Village, Fairview Park Subdivision
Quezon City
4. Atty. Gregorio A. Bonifacio
Counsel for Intervenor UFCC
Unit 1523 EDSA Grand Residences
EDSA corner Corregidor St., Bago Bantay 1105 Quezon City
gabonifaciolawoffice@yahoo.com
5. National Association of Electricity Consumers for Reforms, Inc. (NASECORE)
Intervenor in ERC Case No. 2014-050 CF and 2023-046 CF
c/o Mr. Petronilo Ilagan
Roxas Seafront Garden, Roxas Blvd. cor. Ortigas St., Pasay City
pete49ilagan@gmail.com
6. Mr. Uriel G. Borja
Intervenor in ERC Case No. 2014-050 CF and ERC Case No. 2017-060 CF
BSA Towers, 108 Legaspi Street, Makati City
7. Bateria Bateria Casals Lozada & Tiblani
Atty. Bertrand A. Bateria, Atty. Marivic B. De Gracia and Atty. Arvi Gale C. Mendez
Counsel for Intervenor Borja
Unit 1904, 19th Floor, Jollibee Plaza
F. Ortigas Jr. Rd., Ortigas Center, Pasig City
info@bbclt.com; info@bbclaw.com
8. Mr. John Victoria
Intervenor in ERC Case No. 2014-050 CF
c/o Atty. Jose Aaron M. Pedrosa, Jr.
Counsel for Intervenor Victoria
#11 Matimpiin St., Brgy. Pinyahan, Quezon City
9. Mr. Brigido R. Simon, Jr.
Intervenor in ERC Case No. 2023-046 CF
69 St. Louis Compound 7 Baesa,
Quezon City
junsi731@yahoo.com
10. Boses ng Konsyumer Alliance, Inc. (BNK)
Thru: Mr. Rogelio G. Reyes
Intervenor in ERC Case No. 2023-046 CF
64 Unit D Aguirre Ave. Zon 11 Pilar Village
Las Piñas City
hansje.reyes@gmail.com
11. Office of the Solicitor General (OSG)
134 Amorsolo Street, Legaspi Village, Makati City
docket@osg.gov.ph
12. Commission on Audit (COA)
Commonwealth Avenue, Quezon City
citizensdesk@coa.gov.ph
13. Senate Committee on Energy
GSIS Building, Roxas Boulevard, Pasay City
senateenergycommittee@gmail.com

ERC CASE NO. 2014-050 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2017-060 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2020-018 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2023-046 CF
DECISION
Page 33 of 51

- 14. House Committee on Energy
Batasan Hills, Quezon City
committee.energy@house.gov.ph
- 15. Office of the City Mayor
City of Caloocan
- 16. Office of the Local Government Unit (LGU) Legislative Body
City of Caloocan
- 17. Office of the City Mayor
City of Las Piñas
- 18. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
City of Las Piñas
- 19. Office of the City Mayor
City of Makati
- 20. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
City of Makati
- 21. Office of the City Mayor
City of Malabon
- 22. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
City of Malabon
- 23. Office of the City Mayor
City of Mandaluyong
- 24. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
City of Mandaluyong
- 25. Office of the City Mayor
City of Manila
- 26. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
City of Manila
- 27. Office of the City Mayor
City of Marikina
- 28. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
City of Marikina
- 29. Office of the City Mayor
City of Muntinlupa
- 30. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
City of Muntinlupa
- 31. Office of the City Mayor
City of Navotas
- 32. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
City of Navotas
- 33. Office of the City Mayor
City of Parañaque
- 34. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
City of Parañaque
- 35. Office of the City Mayor
City of Pasay

ERC CASE NO. 2014-050 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2017-060 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2020-018 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2023-046 CF
DECISION
Page 34 of 51

- 36. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
City of Pasay
- 37. Office of the City Mayor
City of Pasig
- 38. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
City of Pasig
- 39. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Municipality of Pateros
- 40. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Municipality of Pateros
- 41. Office of the City Mayor
City of Quezon
- 42. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
City of Quezon
- 43. Office the City Mayor
City of San Juan
- 44. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
City of San Juan
- 45. Office of the City Mayor
City of Taguig
- 46. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
City of Taguig
- 47. Office of the City Mayor
City of Valenzuela
- 48. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
City of Valenzuela
- 49. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Angat, Bulacan
- 50. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Angat, Bulacan
- 51. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Balagtas, Bulacan
- 52. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Balagtas, Bulacan
- 53. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Baliwag, Bulacan
- 54. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Baliwag, Bulacan
- 55. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Bocaue, Bulacan
- 56. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Bocaue, Bulacan
- 57. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Bulakan, Bulacan
- 58. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Bulakan, Bulacan

ERC CASE NO. 2014-050 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2017-060 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2020-018 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2023-046 CF
DECISION
Page 35 of 51

- 59. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Bustos, Bulacan

- 60. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Bustos, Bulacan

- 61. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Calumpit, Bulacan

- 62. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Calumpit, Bulacan

- 63. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Doña Remedios Trinidad, Bulacan

- 64. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Doña Remedios Trinidad, Bulacan

- 65. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Guiguinto, Bulacan

- 66. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Guiguinto, Bulacan

- 67. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Hagonoy, Bulacan

- 68. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Hagonoy, Bulacan

- 69. Office of the City Mayor
Malolos, Bulacan

- 70. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Malolos, Bulacan

- 71. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Marilao, Bulacan

- 72. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Marilao, Bulacan

- 73. Office of the City Mayor
Meycauayan, Bulacan

- 74. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Meycauayan, Bulacan

- 75. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Norzagaray, Bulacan

- 76. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Norzagaray, Bulacan

- 77. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Obando, Bulacan

- 78. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Obando, Bulacan

- 79. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Pandi, Bulacan

- 80. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Pandi, Bulacan

- 81. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Paombong, Bulacan

ERC CASE NO. 2014-050 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2017-060 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2020-018 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2023-046 CF
DECISION
Page 36 of 51

- 82. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Paombong, Bulacan
- 83. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Plaridel, Bulacan
- 84. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Plaridel, Bulacan
- 85. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Pulilan, Bulacan
- 86. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Pulilan, Bulacan
- 87. Office of the Municipal Mayor
San Ildefonso, Bulacan
- 88. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
San Ildefonso, Bulacan
- 89. Office of the City Mayor
San Jose del Monte, Bulacan
- 90. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
San Jose del Monte, Bulacan
- 91. Office of the Municipal Mayor
San Miguel, Bulacan
- 92. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
San Miguel, Bulacan
- 93. Office of the Municipal Mayor
San Rafael, Bulacan
- 94. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
San Rafael, Bulacan
- 95. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Sta. Maria, Bulacan
- 96. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Sta. Maria, Bulacan
- 97. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Alfonso, Cavite
- 98. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Alfonso, Cavite
- 99. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Amadeo, Cavite
- 100. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Amadeo, Cavite
- 101. Office of the City Mayor
Bacoor, Cavite
- 102. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Bacoor, Cavite
- 103. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Carmona, Cavite
- 104. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Carmona, Cavite

ERC CASE NO. 2014-050 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2017-060 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2020-018 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2023-046 CF
DECISION
Page 37 of 51

- 105. Office of the City Mayor
Cavite, Cavite
- 106. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Cavite, Cavite
- 107. Office of the City Mayor
Dasmariñas, Cavite
- 108. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Dasmariñas, Cavite
- 109. Office of the Municipal Mayor
General Emilio Aguinaldo, Cavite
- 110. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
General Emilio Aguinaldo, Cavite
- 111. Office of the Municipal Mayor
General Mariano Alvarez, Cavite
- 112. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
General Mariano Alvarez, Cavite
- 113. Office of the City Mayor
General Trias, Cavite
- 114. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
General Trias, Cavite
- 115. Office of the City Mayor
Imus, Cavite
- 116. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Imus, Cavite
- 117. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Indang, Cavite
- 118. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Indang, Cavite
- 119. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Kawit, Cavite
- 120. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Kawit, Cavite
- 121. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Magallanes, Cavite
- 122. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Magallanes, Cavite
- 123. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Maragondon, Cavite
- 124. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Maragondon, Cavite
- 125. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Mendez, Cavite
- 126. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Mendez, Cavite
- 127. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Naic, Cavite

ERC CASE NO. 2014-050 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2017-060 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2020-018 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2023-046 CF
DECISION
Page 38 of 51

- 128. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Naic, Cavite
- 129. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Noveleta, Cavite
- 130. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Noveleta, Cavite
- 131. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Rosario, Cavite
- 132. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Rosario, Cavite
- 133. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Silang, Cavite
- 134. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Silang, Cavite
- 135. Office of the City Mayor
Tagaytay, Cavite
- 136. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Tagaytay, Cavite
- 137. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Tanza, Cavite
- 138. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Tanza, Cavite
- 139. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Ternate, Cavite
- 140. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Ternate, Cavite
- 141. Office the City Mayor
Trece Martires, Cavite
- 142. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Trece Martires, Cavite
- 143. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Angono, Rizal
- 144. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Angono, Rizal
- 145. Office of the City Mayor
Antipolo, Rizal
- 146. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Antipolo, Rizal
- 147. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Baras, Rizal
- 148. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Baras, Rizal
- 149. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Binangonan, Rizal
- 150. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Binangonan, Rizal

ERC CASE NO. 2014-050 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2017-060 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2020-018 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2023-046 CF
DECISION
Page 39 of 51

- 151. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Cainta, Rizal

- 152. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Cainta, Rizal

- 153. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Cardona, Rizal

- 154. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Cardona, Rizal

- 155. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Jala-Jala, Rizal

- 156. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Jala-Jala, Rizal

- 157. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Morong, Rizal

- 158. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Morong, Rizal

- 159. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Pililla, Rizal

- 160. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Pililla, Rizal

- 161. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Rodriguez, Rizal

- 162. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Rodriguez, Rizal

- 163. Office of the Municipal Mayor
San Mateo, Rizal

- 164. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
San Mateo, Rizal

- 165. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Tanay, Rizal

- 166. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Tanay, Rizal

- 167. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Taytay, Rizal
- 168. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Taytay, Rizal

- 169. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Teresa, Rizal

- 170. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Teresa, Rizal

- 171. Office of the City Mayor
Batangas, Batangas

- 172. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Batangas, Batangas

- 173. Office of the Municipal Mayor
San Pascual, Batangas

- 174. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
San Pascual, Batangas

ERC CASE NO. 2014-050 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2017-060 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2020-018 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2023-046 CF
DECISION
Page 40 of 51

- 175. Office of the City Mayor
Sto. Tomas, Batangas

- 176. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Sto. Tomas, Batangas

- 177. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Alaminos, Laguna

- 178. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Alaminos, Laguna

- 179. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Bay, Laguna

- 180. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Bay, Laguna

- 181. Office of the City Mayor
Biñan, Laguna

- 182. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Biñan, Laguna

- 183. Office of the City Mayor
Cabuyao, Laguna

- 184. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Cabuyao, Laguna

- 185. Office of the City Mayor
Calamba, Laguna

- 186. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Calamba, Laguna

- 187. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Calauan, Laguna

- 188. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Calauan, Laguna

- 189. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Liliw, Laguna

- 190. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Liliw, Laguna

- 191. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Los Baños, Laguna

- 192. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Los Baños, Laguna

- 193. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Luisiana, Laguna

- 194. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Luisiana, Laguna

- 195. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Magdalena, Laguna

- 196. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Magdalena, Laguna

- 197. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Majayjay, Laguna

ERC CASE NO. 2014-050 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2017-060 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2020-018 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2023-046 CF
DECISION
Page 41 of 51

- 198. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Majayjay, Laguna

- 199. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Nagcarlan, Laguna

- 200. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Nagcarlan, Laguna

- 201. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Pila, Laguna

- 202. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Pila, Laguna

- 203. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Rizal, Laguna

- 204. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Rizal, Laguna

- 205. Office of the City Mayor
San Pablo, Laguna

- 206. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
San Pablo, Laguna

- 207. Office of the City Mayor
San Pedro, Laguna

- 208. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
San Pedro, Laguna

- 209. Office of the City Mayor
Sta.Cruz, Laguna

- 210. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Sta.Cruz, Laguna

- 211. Office of the City Mayor
Sta. Rosa, Laguna

- 212. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Sta. Rosa, Laguna

- 213. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Victoria, Laguna

- 214. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Victoria, Laguna

- 215. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Candelaria, Quezon

- 216. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Candelaria, Quezon

- 217. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Dolores, Quezon

- 218. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Dolores, Quezon

- 219. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Lucban, Quezon

- 220. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Lucban, Quezon

ERC CASE NO. 2014-050 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2017-060 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2020-018 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2023-046 CF
DECISION
Page 42 of 51

- 221. Office of the City Mayor
Lucena, Quezon

- 222. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Lucena, Quezon

- 223. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Mauban, Quezon

- 224. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Mauban, Quezon

- 225. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Pagbilao, Quezon

- 226. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Pagbilao, Quezon

- 227. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Sampaloc, Quezon

- 228. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Sampaloc, Quezon

- 229. Office of the Municipal Mayor
San Antonio, Quezon

- 230. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
San Antonio, Quezon

- 231. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Sariaya, Quezon

- 232. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Sariaya, Quezon

- 233. Office of the City Mayor
Tayabas, Quezon

- 234. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Tayabas, Quezon

- 235. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Tiaong, Quezon

- 236. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Tiaong, Quezon

- 237. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Apalit, Pampanga

- 238. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Apalit, Pampanga

- 239. Office of the Municipal Mayor
Candaba, Pampanga

- 240. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Candaba, Pampanga

- 241. Office of the Municipal Mayor
San Simon, Pampanga

- 242. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
San Simon, Pampanga

- 243. Office of the Governor
Province of Bulacan

ERC CASE NO. 2014-050 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2017-060 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2020-018 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2023-046 CF
DECISION
Page 43 of 51

- 244. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Province of Bulacan
- 245. Office of the Governor
Province of Cavite
- 246. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Province of Cavite
- 247. Office of the Governor
Province of Rizal
- 248. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Province of Rizal
- 249. Office of the Governor
Province of Batangas
- 250. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Province of Batangas
- 251. Office of the Governor
Province of Laguna
- 252. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Province of Laguna
- 253. Office of the Governor
Province of Quezon
- 254. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Province of Quezon
- 255. Office of the Governor
Province of Pampanga
- 256. Office of the LGU Legislative Body
Province of Pampanga

ANNEX “A”

Other Generation Rate Adjustment (OGA)

Name of DU: _____
ERC Case No.: _____
Date of Order: _____
Total Amount to be Collected: _____

This report should be submitted on or before the 30th of month, together with the monthly reportorial requirement, and every month thereafter until the amount shall have been fully collected or refunded.

Other Generation Rate Adjustment (OGA)
Amount Collected/Refunded
For the Month of _____

Month	Rate/kWh	kWh Sold ¹	Amount Collected/Refunded	Balance in Amount
Beginning Balance				0.00
Customer Class				
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
TOTAL				
Ending Balance				0.00

^{1/} Indicate the kWh sold used in the calculation of refund/collect.

Prepared by: _____
(Name & Position)

Reviewed by: _____
Finance/Billing Manager

Certified True and Correct: _____
General Manager

ERC CASE NO. 2014-050 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2017-060 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2020-018 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2023-046 CF
DECISION
Page 45 of 51

Other Transmission Cost Adjustment (OTCA)

Name of DU: _____
ERC Case No.: _____
Date of Order: _____
Total Amount to be Collected: _____

This report should be submitted on or before the 30th of month, together with the monthly reportorial requirement, and every month thereafter until the amount shall have been fully collected or refunded.

Other Transmission Cost Adjustment (OTCA)

Amount Collected/Refunded
For the Month of _____

Month	Rate/kWh	kWh Sold ¹	Amount Collected/Refunded	Balance in Amount
Beginning Balance				0.00
Customer Class				
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
TOTAL				
Ending Balance				0.00

^{1/} Indicate the kWh sold used in the calculation of refund/collect.

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

Certified True and Correct:

(Name & Position)

Finance/Billing Manager

General Manager

ERC CASE NO. 2014-050 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2017-060 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2020-018 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2023-046 CF
DECISION
Page 46 of 51

Other System Loss Cost Adjustment (OSLA)

Name of DU: _____
ERC Case No.: _____
Date of Order: _____
Total Amount to be Collected: _____

This report should be submitted on or before the 30th of month, together with the monthly reportorial requirement, and every month thereafter until the amount shall have been fully collected or refunded.

Other System Loss Cost Adjustment (OSLA)
Amount Collected/Refunded
For the Month of _____

Month	Rate/kWh	kWh Sold ¹	Amount Collected/Refunded	Balance in Amount
Beginning Balance				0.00
Customer Class				
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
TOTAL				
Ending Balance				0.00

^{1/} Indicate the kWh sold used in the calculation of refund/collect.

Prepared by: _____
(Name & Position)

Reviewed by: _____
Finance/Billing Manager

Certified True and Correct: _____
General Manager

ERC CASE NO. 2014-050 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2017-060 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2020-018 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2023-046 CF
DECISION
Page 47 of 51

Other Lifeline Rate Cost Adjustment (OLRA)

Name of DU: _____
ERC Case No.: _____
Date of Order: _____
Total Amount to be Collected: _____

This report should be submitted on or before the 30th of month, together with the monthly reportorial requirement, and every month thereafter until the amount shall have been fully collected or refunded.

Other Lifeline Rate Cost Adjustment (OLRA)

Amount Collected/Refunded
For the Month of _____

Month	Rate/kWh	kWh Sold ¹	Amount Collected/Refunded	Balance in Amount
Beginning Balance				0.00
Customer Class				
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
TOTAL				
Ending Balance				0.00

¹/ Indicate the kWh sold used in the calculation of refund/collect.

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

Certified True and Correct:

(Name & Position)

Finance/Billing Manager

General Manager

ERC CASE NO. 2014-050 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2017-060 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2020-018 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2023-046 CF
DECISION
Page 48 of 51

Senior Citizen Discount & Subsidy Adjustment (OSrRA)

Name of DU: _____
ERC Case No.: _____
Date of Order: _____
Total Amount to be Collected: _____

This report should be submitted on or before the 30th of month, together with the monthly reportorial requirement, and every month thereafter until the amount shall have been fully collected or refunded.

Senior Citizen Discount & Subsidy Adjustment (OSrRA)
Amount Collected/Refund
For the Month of _____

Month	Rate/kWh	kWh Sold ¹	Amount Collected/Refunded	Balance in Amount
Beginning Balance				0.00
Customer Class				
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
TOTAL				
Ending Balance				0.00

¹/ Indicate the kWh sold used in the calculation of refund/collect.

Prepared by: _____
(Name & Position)

Reviewed by: _____
Finance/Billing Manager

Certified True and Correct: _____
General Manager

ERC CASE NO. 2014-050 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2017-060 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2020-018 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2023-046 CF
DECISION
Page 49 of 51

Real Property Tax Adjustment (RPT)

Name of DU: _____
ERC Case No.: _____
Date of Order: _____
Total Amount to be
Collected: _____
Name of
LGU/City/Municipality: _____

This report should be submitted on or before the 30th of month, together with the monthly reportorial requirement, and every month thereafter until the amount shall have been fully collected or refunded.

Real Property Tax Adjustment (RPT)
Amount Collected/Refund
For the Month of _____

Month	Rate/kWh	kWh Sold ¹	Amount Collected/Refunded	Balance in Amount
Beginning Balance				0.00
Customer Class				
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
TOTAL				
Ending Balance				0.00

^{1/} Indicate the kWh sold used in the calculation of refund/collect.

Prepared by: _____
(Name & Position)

Reviewed by: _____
Finance/Billing Manager

Certified True and Correct: _____
General Manager

ERC CASE NO. 2014-050 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2017-060 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2020-018 CF
ERC CASE NO. 2023-046 CF
DECISION
Page 50 of 51

Local Franchise Tax Adjustment (LFT)

Name of DU: _____
ERC Case No.: _____
Date of Order: _____
Total Amount to be
Collected: _____
Rate per kWh (Php/kWh): _____
Name of
LGU/City/Municipality: _____

This report should be submitted on or before the 30th of month, together with the monthly reportorial requirement, and every month thereafter until the amount shall have been fully collected or refunded.

Local Franchise Tax Adjustment (LFT)
Amount Collected/Refund
For the Period Covering _____

Month	Rate/kWh	kWh Sold ¹	Amount Collected/Refunded	Balance in Amount
Beginning Balance				0.00
Customer Class				
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
TOTAL				
Ending Balance				0.00

¹/ Indicate the kWh sold used in the calculation of refund/collect.

Prepared by: _____ Reviewed by: _____ Certified True and Correct: _____
(Name & Position) Finance/Billing Manager General Manager

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this _____ day of _____, 201__ at _____.
The affiant exhibited to me his/her CTC No. _____ issued on _____ at _____.

MONITORING OF (OVER)/UNDER RECOVERIES
FOR THE PERIOD _____ - _____
ERC CASE NO. ____ - ____ CF

RATE COMPONENTS	AMOUNT OF (OVER) / UNDER RECOVERIES	TOTAL COLLECTED / REFUNDED	BALANCE
Other Generation Adjustment (OGA)			
Other Transmission Cost Adjustment (OTCA)			
Other System Loss Adjustment (OSLA)			
Other Lifeline Rate Adjustment (OLRA)			
Other Senior Citizen Rate Adjustment (OSrRA)			
Real Property Tax (RPT)			
Local Franchise Tax (LFT)			
TOTAL			

Prepared by:

(Name & Position)

Reviewed by:

Finance/Billing Manager

Certified True and Correct:

General Manager

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this _____ day of _____, 20____ at _____.
The affiant exhibited to me his/her CTC NO. _____ issued on _____ at _____.

Notary Public